

Exams Internal Appeals Procedures 2024-25

For the attention of: All Staff
Produced by: Group Exams Manager
Approved by: SLT
Date of publication: January 2025
Date of next review: January 2026





Vision, Purpose & Values

Our Vision

Our students will be recognised locally & nationally for their positive impact on the communities and industries in which they choose to work.

Our Purpose

To inspire our students to gain the skills, knowledge and behaviours they need to be resilient and thrive in an ever-changing world.

Our Values

Excellence: A culture of creativity, high expectations, ambition and aspiration

Respect: Showing fairness, courtesy and mutual respect to each other and our environment

Integrity: Honesty, openness and trust at the heart of College life

Diversity: Celebrating diversity and inclusivity as a key to our success

Contents

Exams Internal Appeals Procedures 2024–25	1
1. Key Staff Involved in Internal Appeals Procedures	4
2. Purpose of the Procedure	4
3. Appeals Relating to Internal Assessment Decisions (Centre Assessed Marks)	4
4. Appeals Against Decisions to Reject a Candidate’s Work on the Grounds of Malpractice	7
5. Appeals Against the Centre’s Decision not to Support an Application for a Clerical Check, a Review of Marking, a Review of Moderation or an Appeal	8
6. Appeals Regarding Centre Decisions Relating to Access Arrangements and Special Consideration	12
7. Appeals Regarding Centre Decisions Relating to Other Administrative Issues	14
Internal Appeals Form	15
Appeals Log	16
Further Guidance to Inform and Implement Appeals	17

1. Key Staff Involved in Internal Appeals Procedures

Text

Role	Name(s)
Head of Centre	Gillian May
Senior Leaders	Anne Entwistle, Amanda Down, Karen Griffiths
Exams Manager	Fatima Rana
Deputy Exams Manager	Simmi Pawar, Kofil Chowdhury & Amanda Flemington
Exams Officers	Claire Burt, Harriet Wigmore-Welsh, Rob Cura, Jalisa Ogundelu, Lucy McGauch, Shoib Awan

2. Purpose of the Procedure

This procedure confirms Windsor Forest Colleges Group compliance with JCQ's **General Regulations for Approved Centres** (5.3z, 5.8) that the centre will:

- have in place for inspection that must be reviewed and updated annually, a written internal appeals procedure which must cover at least appeals regarding internal assessment decisions, access to post-result services and appeals, and centre decisions relating to access arrangements and special consideration
- draw to the attention of candidates and their parents/carers their internal appeals procedure

This procedure covers appeals relating to:

- Internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks)
- Centre decisions not to support an application for clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal

Centre decisions relating to access arrangements and special consideration

3. Appeals Relating to Internal Assessment Decisions (Centre Assessed Marks)

Certain qualifications contain components/units of non-examination assessment, controlled assessment and/or coursework which are internally assessed (marked) by centres and internally standardised. The marks awarded (the internal assessment decisions) which contribute to the final grade of the qualification are then submitted by the deadline set by the awarding body for external moderation.

This procedure confirms Windsor Forest Colleges Group compliance with JCQ's **General Regulations for Approved Centres** (section 5.7) that the centre will:

- have in place for inspection that must be reviewed and updated annually a written internal appeals procedure relating to internal assessment decisions and to ensure that details of this procedure are communicated, made widely available and accessible to all candidates
- before submitting marks to the awarding body inform candidates of their centre assessed marks and allow a candidate to request a review of the centre's marking

Deadlines for the submission of marks (Summer 2025 exam series)

Date	Qualification	Details
07/05/25	GCSE	Final date for submission of centre assessed marks (AQA, OCR, Pearson and WJEC)
15/05/25	GCE	Final date for submission of centre assessed marks (AQA, OCR, Pearson and WJEC)
15/05/25	Extended Project	Final date for submission of centre assessed marks (AQA, OCR, Pearson and WJEC)

The Windsor Forest Colleges Group is committed to ensuring that whenever its staff mark candidates' work this is done fairly, consistently and in accordance with the awarding body's specification and subject-specific associated documents.

The Windsor Forest Colleges Group ensures that all centre staff follow a robust policy regarding the management of non-examination assessments and coursework. This policy details the procedures relating to all qualifications including the marking and quality assurance/internal standardisation processes which relevant teaching staff are required to follow.

Candidates' work will be marked by staff who have appropriate knowledge, understanding and skill, who have been trained in this activity and do not have any potential conflicts of interest. If AI tools have been used to assist in the marking of candidates' work, they will not be the sole marker. The Windsor Forest Colleges Group is committed to ensuring that work produced by candidates is authenticated in line with the requirements of the awarding body. Where a number of subject teachers are involved in marking candidates' work, internal moderation and standardisation will ensure consistency of marking.

On being informed of their centre assessed marks, if a candidate believes that the above procedures were not followed in relation to the marking of their work, or that the assessor has not properly applied the marking standards to the marking, then the candidate may make use of the appeals procedure below to consider whether to request a review of the centre's marking.

The Windsor Forest Colleges Group will:

1. ensure that candidates are informed of their centre assessed marks so that they may request a review of the centre's marking before marks are submitted to the awarding body.
2. Inform candidates that they will need to explain on what grounds they wish to request a review of an internally assessed mark as a review will only focus on the quality of work submitted
3. inform candidates that they may request copies of materials (for example, a copy of their marked work, the relevant specification and the mark schemes) to assist them in considering whether to request a review of the centre's marking of the assessment.
4. having received a request for copies of materials, promptly make them available to the candidate within 3 working days. (This will either be the originals viewed under supervised conditions or copies)
5. inform candidates they will not be allowed access to original assessment material, including artefacts, unless supervised
6. provide candidates with sufficient time, normally at least five working days, to allow them to review copies of materials and reach a decision
7. provide a clear deadline for candidates to submit a request for a review of the centre's marking. Requests will not be accepted after this deadline. Requests must be made in writing within 5 working days of receiving copies

of the requested materials to the appropriate Vice Principal and candidates must explain on what grounds they wish to request a review

8. allow 5 – 7 working days for the review to be carried out, to make any necessary changes to marks and to inform the candidate of the outcome, all before the awarding body's deadline.
9. ensure that the review of marking is carried out by an assessor who has appropriate competence, has had no previous involvement in the assessment of that candidate and has no personal interest in the review.
10. instruct the reviewer to ensure that the candidate's mark is consistent with the standard set by the centre.
11. inform the candidate in writing of the outcome of the review of the centre's marking.

The outcome of the review of the centre's marking will be made known to the head of centre. A written record of the review will be kept and made available to the awarding body upon request.

The moderation process carried out by the awarding body may result in a mark change, either upwards or downwards, even after an internal review. The internal review process is in place to ensure consistency of marking within the centre, whereas moderation by the awarding body ensures that centre marking is line with national standards. The mark submitted to the awarding body is subject to change and should therefore be considered provisional.

4. Appeals Against Decisions to Reject a Candidate's Work on the Grounds of Malpractice

The JCQ [Information for candidates documents](#) (Coursework, Non-examination assessments, Social media) which are distributed to all candidates prior to relevant assessments taking place, inform candidates of the things they must and must not do when they are completing their work.

Windsor Forest Colleges Group ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing work for assessments are aware of the potential for malpractice.

Malpractice by a candidate discovered in a controlled assessment, coursework or non-examination assessment component prior to the candidate signing the declaration of authentication does not need to be reported to the awarding body but will be dealt with in accordance with the centre's internal procedures. The

only exception to this is where the awarding body's confidential assessment material has potentially been breached. The breach will be reported to the awarding body immediately.

If there are doubts about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or irregularities are identified in a candidate's work before the candidate has signed the declaration of authentication/authentication statement (where required) and malpractice is suspected, Windsor Forest Colleges Group will:

- follow the authentication procedures and/or malpractice instructions in the relevant JCQ document (*Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments/Instructions for conducting coursework*) and any supplementary guidance that may be provided by the awarding body. Where this may lead to the decision to **not** accept the candidate's work for assessment or to reject a candidate's coursework on the grounds of malpractice, the affected candidate will be informed of the decision.

If a candidate who is the subject of the decision disagrees with the decision:

- a written request, setting out as clearly and concisely as possible the grounds for the appeal including any further evidence relevant to supporting the appeal, should be submitted
- an **internal appeals form** should be completed and submitted within 5 calendar/working days of the decision being made known to the appellant]

The appellant will be informed of the outcome of the appeal within 5-7 working days of the appeal being received and logged by the centre.¹

5. Appeals Against the Centre's Decision not to Support an Application for a Clerical Check, a Review of Marking, a Review of Moderation or an Appeal

This procedure confirms The Windsor Forest Colleges Group compliance with JCQ's General Regulations for Approved Centres 2024-25, section 5.13 that the centre has in place "a written internal appeals procedure to manage disputes when a candidate disagrees with a centre decision not to support an application for a clerical check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal".

¹ This procedure is informed by the JCQ documents [Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments](#) (4.6, 6.1, 9), [Instructions for conducting coursework](#) (6, 7, 13.5), [Review of marking \(centre assessed marks\) suggested template for centres](#), [Notice to Centres - Informing candidates of their centre assessed marks](#) and [Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures](#) (4.5)

Following the issue of results, awarding bodies make post-results services available. Students are able to apply for all post results services made available by awarding organisations, fees are applicable and relevant forms for completion are made available via the Exams' intranet site, from emails sent prior to results and with results and the exams office.

Candidates are also informed via the Exams' Google Site of the arrangements for post-results services **before** they sit any exams and the availability of senior members of centre staff immediately after the publication of results.

If the centre or a candidate (or his/her parent/carer) has a concern and believes a result may not be accurate, a review of the result may be requested.

Reviews of Results (RoRs) services:

- Service 1 – clerical re-check

This is the only service that can be requested for objective tests (multiple choice tests)

- Service 2 – review of marking
- Priority Service 2 (Review of marking)

This service is available for externally assessed components of both unitised and linear GCE A-level specifications. It is also available for Level 3 Vocational and Technical qualifications

- Service 3 – review of moderation

(this service is not available to an individual candidate)

Access to Scripts (ATS):

- Copies of scripts to support reviews of marking
- Copies of scripts to support teaching and learning

Where a concern is expressed that a particular result may not be accurate, the centre will look at the marks awarded for each component part of the qualification alongside any mark schemes, relevant result reports, grade boundary information, etc. when made available by the awarding body to determine if the centre supports any concerns.

For written components that contributed to the final result, the centre will:

1. Where a place a university or college is at risk, consider supporting a request for a Priority Service 2 review of marking (where the qualification concerned is eligible for this service)
2. In all other instances, consider accessing the script by:

- a) requesting a priority copy of the candidate's script to support a review of marking by the awarding body deadline, or
 - b) (where the option is made available by the awarding body) viewing the candidate's marked script online to consider if requesting a review of marking is appropriate
3. Collect informed written consent/permission from the candidate to access their script
 4. On access to the script, consider if it is felt that the agreed mark scheme has been applied correctly in the original marking and if the centre considers there are any errors in the marking
 5. Support a request for the appropriate RoR service (clerical re-check or review of marking) if any error is identified
 6. Collect informed written consent from the candidate to request the RoR service before the request is submitted
 7. Where relevant, advise an affected candidate to inform any third party (such as a university or college) that a review of marking has been submitted to an awarding body]

Written candidate consent (informed consent via candidate email is acceptable) is required in all cases before a request for a RoR service 1 or 2 is submitted to the awarding body as with these services candidates' marks and subject grades may be lowered. Candidate consent can only be collected after the publication of results.

- Confirm that a review of moderation cannot be undertaken on the work of an individual candidate or the work of candidates not in the original sample submitted for moderation
- Consult any moderator report/feedback to identify any issues raised
- Determine if the centre's internally assessed marks have been accepted without change by the awarding body – if this is the case, a RoR service 3 (Review of moderation) will not be available
- Determine if there are any grounds to submit a request for a review of moderation for the work of all candidates in the original sample

Centre actions in the event of a disagreement (dispute)

Where a candidate disagrees with a centre decision not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking or a review of moderation, the centre will:

- For a review of marking (RoR priority service 2), advise the candidate they may request the review by providing informed written consent (and the required fee) for this service to the centre by the deadline set by the centre
- For a review of marking (RoR service 1 or 2), first advise the candidate to access a copy of their script to support a review of marking by providing written permission for the centre to access the script (and any required administration fee for this service) for the centre to submit this request
- After accessing the script to consider the marking, inform the candidate that if a request for a review of marking (RoR service 1 or 2) is required, this must be submitted by the deadline set by the centre by providing informed written consent (and the required fee for this service) for the centre to submit this request
- Inform the candidate that a review of moderation (RoR service 3) cannot be requested for the work of an individual candidate or the work of a candidate not in the original sample]

If the candidate (or his/her parent/carer) believes there are grounds to appeal against the centre's decision not to support a review, an internal appeal can be submitted to the appropriate assistant principal at least 7 working days prior to the internal deadline for submitting a request for a review.

- a. The appellant will be informed of the outcome of his/her/their appeal before the internal deadline for submitting a RoR.
- b. Following the RoR outcome, an external appeals process is available if the head of centre remains dissatisfied with the outcome and believes there are grounds for appeal. The JCQ publications Post-Results Services and JCQ Appeals Booklet (A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes) will be consulted to determine the acceptable grounds for a preliminary appeal.
- c. Where the head of centre is satisfied after receiving the RoR outcome, but the candidate (or his/her/their parent/carer) believes there are grounds for a preliminary appeal to the awarding body, a further internal appeal may be made to the head of centre. Following this, the head of centre's decision as to whether to proceed with a preliminary appeal will be based upon the acceptable grounds as detailed in the JCQ Appeals Booklet. Candidates or parents/carers are not permitted to make direct representations to an awarding body.
- d. The appellant must state grounds of appeal in writing to the appropriate assistant principal in 10 working days of the notification of the outcome of

the RoR. Subject to the head of centre's decision, this will allow the centre to process the preliminary appeal and submit to the awarding body within the required 30 working days of receiving the outcome of the review of results process. Awarding body fees which may be charged for the preliminary appeal must be paid to the centre by the appellant before the preliminary appeal is submitted to the awarding body (fees are available from the exams officer). If the appeal is upheld by the awarding body, this fee will be refunded by the awarding body and repaid to the appellant by the centre.²

6. Appeals Regarding Centre Decisions Relating to Access Arrangements and Special Consideration

This procedure confirms Windsor Forest Colleges Group's compliance with JCQ's **General Regulations for Approved Centres** (section 5.3z) that the centre will:

- have in place for inspection that must be reviewed and updated annually, a written internal appeals procedure which must cover at least appeals regarding... centre decisions relating to access arrangements and special consideration

Windsor Forest Colleges Group will:

- comply with the principles and regulations governing access arrangements and special consideration as set out in the JCQ publications **Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments** and **A guide to the special consideration process**
- ensure that all staff who manage and implement access arrangements and special consideration are aware of the requirements and are appropriately supported and resourced

Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

In accordance with the regulations, Windsor Forest Colleges Group:

- recognises its duty to explore and provide access to suitable courses, through the access arrangements process submit applications for reasonable adjustments and make reasonable adjustments to the service the centre provides to disabled candidates
- complies with its responsibilities in identifying, determining and implementing appropriate access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

² This procedure is informed by the JCQ documents [Post-Results Services](#) and [A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes](#)

Failure to comply with the regulations has the potential to constitute malpractice which may impact on a candidate's result(s).

Examples of failure to comply include:

- putting in place access arrangements/adjustments that are not approved
- failing to consider putting in place access arrangements (which may be a failure to comply with the duty to make reasonable adjustments)
- permitting access arrangements/adjustments within the centre which are not supported by appropriate evidence
- charging a fee for providing reasonable adjustments to disabled candidates

Special consideration

Where Windsor Forest Colleges Group has appropriate evidence signed by a member of the senior leadership team to support an application, it will apply for special consideration at the time of the assessment for a candidate who is affected by adverse circumstances beyond their control when the issue or event has had, or is reasonably likely to have had, a material effect on the candidate's ability to take an assessment or demonstrate their normal level of attainment in an assessment.

Centre decisions relating to access arrangements, reasonable adjustments and special consideration

This may include Windsor Forest Colleges Group's decision not to make/apply for a specific reasonable adjustment or to apply for special consideration, in circumstances where a candidate does not meet the criteria for, or there is no evidence/insufficient evidence to support the implementation of an access arrangement/reasonable adjustment or the application of special consideration. Where Windsor Forest Colleges Group makes a decision in relation to the access arrangement(s), reasonable adjustment(s) or special consideration that apply for a candidate or candidates:

- If a candidate who is the subject of the relevant decision (or the candidate's parent/carer) disagrees with the decision made and reasonably believes that the centre has not complied with its responsibilities or followed due procedures, a written request setting out the grounds for appeal should be submitted
- An **internal appeals form** should be completed and submitted within 5 working days of the decision being made known to the appellant.

To determine the outcome of the appeal, the head of centre will consult the respective JCQ publication to confirm the centre has complied with the

principles and regulations governing access arrangements and/or special consideration and followed due procedures.

The appellant will be informed of the outcome of the appeal within 10 working days of the appeal being received and logged by the centre.

If the appeal is upheld, Windsor Forest Colleges Group will proceed to implement the necessary arrangements/submit the necessary application.³

7. Appeals Regarding Centre Decisions Relating to Other Administrative Issues

Circumstances may arise that cause Windsor Forest Colleges Group to make decisions on administrative issues that may affect a candidate's examinations/assessments.

Where Windsor Forest Colleges Group may make a decision that affects a candidate or candidates:

- If a candidate who is the subject of the relevant decision (or the candidate's parent/carer) disagrees with the decision made and reasonably believes that the centre has not complied with the regulations or followed due process, a written request setting out the grounds for appeal should be submitted
- An **internal appeals form** should be completed and submitted within 5 working days of the decision being made known to the appellant)].

The appellant will be informed of the outcome of the appeal within 10 working days of the appeal being received and logged by the centre.

³ This procedure is informed by the JCQ documents [A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes \(3\)](#), [Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures \(3.3\)](#), [General Regulations for Approved Centres \(5.4\)](#), [Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments \(Importance of these regulations\)](#) and [A guide to the special consideration process](#)

Further Guidance to Inform and Implement Appeals

JCQ publications

- General Regulations for Approved Centres
<https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/general-regulations>
- Post-Results Services
<https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/post-results-services>
- JCQ Appeals Booklet (A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes)
<https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/appeals>
- Notice to Centres – Informing candidates of their centre assessed marks
<https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination-assessments>
- Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures <https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/malpractice/>
- Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments
<https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/access-arrangements-and-special-consideration/regulations-and-guidance/>
- A guide to the special consideration process <https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/access-arrangements-and-special-consideration/regulations-and-guidance/>

Ofqual publications

- GCSE (9 to 1) qualification-level conditions and requirements
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/gcse-9-to-1-qualification-level-conditions>
- GCE qualification-level conditions and requirements
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/gce-qualification-level-conditions-and-requirements>